

Statement of Additional Grounds for Review - Sandy Weller

① No Search Warrant Errors I'd like to clean up

This case was never a "simple welfare check." CPS Dunn came to our house Oct. 7, 2011 at 12:15 noon for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time that day (p.149). She'd been there earlier speaking to Eli alone and came back (p.149). She was immediately biased and prejudiced to homeschooling. My mother, myself and my four children came back early from Homeschool co-op because I was taking turns and two step-sons to the police station because they'd confessed to doing graffiti to two of our neighbors home, autos and fences. We had just walk in when there was a knock on the door. I answered and it was CPS Dunn, who wanted to speak to Christa. I did not invite her in. She just walked into my home. I called Christa down from her room. She came down within seconds which shows she wasn't locked in. Jeff was asleep in our room as he worked graveyard. Dunn went to Christa's room for hours (p.150) and then to the kitchen asking for the stick or paddle she hit with. I said what stick? She pulled my refrigerator out looking behind saying Christa said it's stored there. I objected. It left grooves in my wood floor. She searched all over knocking things over and ransacking my house. I asked if she's allowed to do that. She said yes. I asked for a warrant, she laughed said she didn't need one. She went in the laundry room with Christa and demanded the laundry machines be moved, but they're snug between both walls. I said I can't move them. Then Christa said the sticks in garage anyway, so they went in there to search. They were in there for hours. She came out upset she couldn't find it. She told me this was a false report and to go ahead and take my step-sons to their mother exchange which was 35<sup>+</sup> min away, and that she'd call me Monday to sign the dismissal papers. It was now 6:40 PM. We all left together. She'd been there 6 1/2 hours interviewing her and searching for the stick.

ILLINOIS  
COURT OF APPEALS  
JULY 22, 2016  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
JULY 22, 2016

in the note to her therapist. Her search ended in the garage. She'd concentrated on the teeny laundry room no wider than 2 machines and the garage. She did not allow any of us to be near as she and Christa searched and ransacked. Jeff and I, my mom, and all the kids took the step-sons to exchange, we were late because of Dunn. We did 2 errands on way home and walked in house about 8:20PM. Jeff went upstairs to our room, the 2 youngest kids went to family room TV and I was still at front door with turns still with our coats and shoes on. I was asking Christa why she'd left that note, no one knew Christopher wrote it or was involved at that point, when I heard a lot of noise outside and opened the door to a dozen<sup>+</sup> officers, Dunn and another CPS (Karu) all crowded by my door, some going around my house. No one knocked or rang bell. I was in shock. Officer Aldridge nastily said "You don't seem surprised to see us" (p 99-100) Jensen testified "more or less expecting us," (p.19) I was told it was done so no I was not expecting them. I merely stood mouth open in shock, about to faint, Aldridge demanded Christa. I meekly pointed behind me at Christa who was directly behind and next to me. Aldridge testified I gestured, said nothing (p.163). I was pointing at Christa. Aldridge banged into me storming inside and they all followed (p.100) I never stepped aside never invited them in, I was frozen in fear. Karu - "Mr. Weller was very quiet throughout the entire ordeal and Mrs. Weller appeared shocked that we were there." (p. 561-2) Aldridge ordered Jeff downstairs (p.105) and several officers ran up calling for Christa who was right next to me laughing. Aldridge ordered an officer (Donaldson) to guard me and Jeff in the front living room and that we could not leave, not even to use the toilet the entire 5<sup>+</sup> hours (p.100, 102, 105, 122, 124, 128.) "Donaldson stayed with the Wellers" "Donaldson is tasked to stay with the parents" (p.102) Aldridge says she knew of the complaint being hit with stick to point of bleeding before she came to our house <sup>(p.108, 140) Karu, Dunn</sup> when Dunn told them her

② Aldridge and Jensen testified they all had a meeting for an hour before coming to our house where Dunn told them her concerns and that she ended her search for the stick in the garage and that she wanted to find the stick (p. 92-97, 154) Aldridge says she first took Christa to the laundry room then garage (p. 106-107) The laundry room is the entrance to the garage and is extremely small (p. 109) "I mean it literally is, you have just room to walk between the washer and dryer... teeny tiny space." Of all the rooms in our 2600 sq ft home inside, and outside as an option officers routinely take, why go straight to the last spot Dunn searched if not for the obvious? Jensen took Christopher there also (p. 64) Karu - "I was not in the garage when they were looking for the stick." (p. 56) Admitting they were searching for the stick with no warrant. 6-7 officers were inside all over in every room ransacking knocking things over like SWA or a war raid. Jensen - "We were all sort of packed in front which was a little tight, so I don't - I didn't keep track of where everybody went." (p. 66) "Several other officers there." (p. 16) "was a fair amount of people" (p. 20) Officers mentioned - Aldridge, Jensen, Betsy, another, Sgt. Hamlin, Calhoun, Rasmussen, Donaldson, others were outside (p. 19-20, 89-90, 127) "Calhoun sealed up the outside so nobody came in (p. 128-129) Yet the state tries hard to convince the court and jury there were only a couple officers there for a simple welfare check. There usually are only 2 officers for that. There were 8 inside and about 6 outside with flashlights and green beams ~~come~~ through our windows. I asked Jensen if he had a warrant and what the green beams were and was nastily told to be quiet and stay seated. We were terrified. Later I found out the green beams were on their guns so they were drawn outside. I was told "You're not outside so don't worry about it." This was clearly not a simple welfare check Jensen said "we never asked permission to search, we never obtained a search warrant." They opened all my drawers, cabinets, dressers, behind

boxes in bathrooms, medicine cabinets and used our toilet. All our kitchen cabinets have no locks what so ever and held only dishes and cups (p 571-2, 1276). Only the pantry held food and the closet under the stairs next to pantry had some extra sodas etc., as we'd just been to 2 food banks the day before (p 1353). Both those doors had master bedroom door knobs and no other locks. The twins had broken the knobs so many times all over the home that the company only had master bedroom ones left that matched. We stored the twins' mental health meds in there so my step-sons would stop stealing them to take or sell. Aldridge came in from garage with a red bike lock (states picture) from Christa's bike and told her to put it on the fridge like she'd described. (p 1355-6) I was ordered in kitchen and asked why lock was on fridge. I said because you just had her put it on. Jensen wanted our cameras but later said he'd come back for them. "We decided to start collecting evidence, one of the pieces was to find the stick, agreed after discussion because video cameras were not hooked up they did not take them." Jensen became the person to collect evidence. (p 70-71) Jensen and Aldridge demanded to go into my bedroom. He opened my underwear drawers and the shower in our closet, under our bed ransacking everything. Yet he testified to "never leaving the ground floor" (p 48+66) Aldridge said nowhere to talk without smaller kids who were eating at kitchen table right next to laundry room door and parents were far away at front of front of house under guard. We were certainly not in her way, she'd put us there. There were many rooms they could have gone to or outside, not right next to where they'd put the smaller 2 kids and they claim she had to go into garage to get away from them. Downstairs had 6 rooms besides the Keeny laundry room she could have gone to, upstairs had 4 bedrooms, large bathroom and large hallway or there was outside. I understand police procedure with no warrant is to take the person outside. Aldridge said Dunn and Christa said stick was in garage and that's where Dunn had ended her 6<sup>+</sup> hour search, she'd learned that from her hours meeting

③ Before coming to our home, so she coincidentally went straight there and found a stick in 20 min, that Dunn and Christa couldn't find in 6+ hours? (p.1281, 1286-89, 1311-13, 1329-31) Jensen "Christoph said the stick was usually kept in laundry room," (p.28-29) Both Aldridge and Jensen said the twins were scattered, unfocused Reverishly looking for the stick so they took ~~the~~ twins straight to where they thought it would be found. This was a search for the stick and then a "spin" to be able to keep it. I'd hidden that stick they found, not Jeff as several teenagers said. I'd hidden it from the four oldest teenagers as I'd taken it from Eli as he was hitting Christa while she and Christopher held lightsabers. The three were sparring. I hid it under a large amount of skis, beach umbrellas, many large and small kids tent and outside equipment (p.40) "found amongst some skis and things I had to pull it out" (p.40) It was not exposed at all. It was buried under 6 feet of things. They said they'd been looking for a 2x4 (Eli's testimony). Aldridge said they were searching everywhere in garage for the stick (p.114-15, 117-18) When I saw the area as Jensen held the stick in hand triumphantly like the Olympic torch, the entire area had been dumped and cleared. I told Jensen he can't have that stick and that it was the stick I'd said I'd taken from Eli as he hit Christa and I'd hidden it from them. They were constantly taking it from our shed or trash and then sparring. Jensen said "stick what stick? I have no stick", as he held it like a torch then put it down on the couch chair and left it alone with twins as they walked me back to front room ordering me to stay there and Jensen continued outside to his car and got brown paper. Jensen "And so I picked it up, I set it down there and left

it there until I later collected it." (p. 41) "Ms. Weller asked why are you taking the kids?" I said because this is a criminal investigation (p. 72-73) By this time, it was after 1 AM and all but the 3 officers were now gone. I asked how can he take that stick its from Eli? He said "I'm not taking anything, what stick?" I said don't you need a warrant? Don't you need papers to take my kids? He said no. Donaldson remained to guard us and there was Aldridge and Jensen left. Donaldson had been with Jeff up front. As Clark said in closing if you have a CPS worker in your home 6+ hours then she leaves and that is the stick used to hit someone, why didn't we take it to exchange and destroy it or get rid of it on the way? Why leave it there? If it hadn't been for that stick our children would never have been removed let alone us charged (p. 38, 77-78) The stain on stick as per her closing and my testimony is a handprint. The DNA is Christopher's sweat, his hand and fingerprints in a light very even stain. How can you have a light stain on all 4 sides as state asked in closing? Because as I said Christopher grabbed it with a bloody hand and his palm is on one side and his 4 fingers curled on other sides. You can clearly see his 4 fingers on stick. (Clark's closing) How can anyone hit someone into a light handprint with his fingerprint and sweat? If he was hit as described there would have been clots of blood, spatters, skin etc. he'd have scars, broken hips or tail bone, his bottom would be hamburger, yet there were no marks no scars, nothing, no red. Yet 6 hrs later a redness after he's jumped into a gang per CPS. Jensen would have taken a picture had he had any mark. Also the fact the state did not want the pictures Copeland said she took says there was nothing there. (p. 932) Also Copeland never offered the state the pictures which says: Fall p. (932) Isn't that why she was seeing the teenagers? On a case

④ where the state demanded 20 years as a sentence, why wouldn't the state have wanted a picture of a mark? No picture of their bottom or area were even sought let alone submitted, the state waits 6 weeks before taking them to a doctor, then used the excuse the injuries must have been healed so they could fool the jury. No medical reports were submitted. Defense medical and mental health reports were forbidden. I could easily have proven the childrens weight, height, blood work and mental health records for 10 years. They went every 4 weeks to a child psychiatrist who weighs and measures them, and orders blood work. Both are borderline diabetic and Christa was on lithium which requires constant bloodwork. Jensen did not take a picture of his injuries as he had no injuries or he would have taken the picture. No bloodwork ever came back with even a hint of malnourishment. If they truly were hit as often as they claimed there would have been injuries for pictures. Both officers testified as to how enormously frustrated they were with the twins, how long they were searching and looking for the stick and they were avidly looking for signs of abuse, so looking at them for injuries would have been first on their list. Karu "we were longer than normal there." (p168) "I was there until about 2 AM" (p165). They all left soon after the stick was found about 2 AM. Not the 20 min. Aldridge "penn." Taking Christa to search laundry room, then search garage, then up to her room, then search garage again and then Jensen joined them, all the twins scattered and all over the place could not take only 20 min. Not possible. Then we are to believe she interviewed only Christa after for 6 hours until 2 AM? She never inter-

viewed anyone else. Not one of them ever asked us our side at all. I tried to get them to talk to me and was ordered to be quiet. Neither of us are charged with hitting with a bike lock and that had been Eli (my testimony) as I also have a scar on my arm from grabbing it from him mid swing. Dunn's reports say turns were taken straight from our home to a group home, 2 blks. behind our home and he immediately ran away with a girl there and got her pregnant and was jumped into a gang. His homemade infected gang tattoos are in Copeland's testimony (p. 939). He could have received a red mark on his bottom being jumped into the gang or jumping out window from the group home and multiple foster homes to be with that girl. Both Aldridge and Jensen testified if they had not found the sticks they would never have even removed the children, let alone charged us. (p 77-79) "Is this convoluted are they exaggerating?" (p. 142) "Younger kids don't lie to the extent that older kids do. You know are these teenagers that didn't get a car for their birthday, so they're mad at their parents, and they've convoluted a story? Is anything they're saying credible?" (p. 115) Jensen said Christopher told him he'd open cans with a knife. (p. 56) Easy to cut your hands that way. We had an electric can opener on counter (state's pic) and 3 hand one in drawer. Christopher describes how easy it was to punch through the can lid and use a screwdriver or pencil to pop open a locked pantry. "I could stab the screwdriver into the top of the can lid and I figured out if I twist the screwdriver right it'll widen the hole in the top of the can. I would stab the screwdriver into the top <sup>into</sup> of the can lid, and ~~I figured out~~ <sup>then pull it down</sup> and just twist it and it would - it would rip open. (p 773-4) He testified to stabbing, twisting and ripping a metal can lid, of course he cut his hand. To try to keep him safe we put the cans he'd go

⑤ after in a tiny brown locked cabinet in garage. But it didn't stop him. (p 773-4) That was the only cabinet in garage that held food. All others held my professional illustration/art supplies, crafts, and holiday decorations. The only other locked cabinet was a large ~~white~~ one which held my professional illustration/art supplies. I walked in garage and caught Christopher at it again showing off to the step sons (p. 695) I asked to see his hands, they had small cuts where a bandaid would not hold and he didn't want any. I asked him wash them put the stick back into shed, as they'd once again taken it out again to spar. (my testimony) Halloween was coming up, they wanted to make a sword and we'd said no. He didn't wash his hands hence his bloody hand print on that stick. Both twins have ADHD, conduct disorder, psychotic disorder, reactive attachment disorder, bi-polar, fetal alcohol syndrome, obsessive compulsive disorder and Trichotillomania from birth. (p 854-5) My step-sons have ADHD, conduct disorder, reactive attachment disorder, fetal alcohol effect and PTSD Nathaniel has autism spectrum-pervasive developmental disorder NOS. (my testimony) Their disorders show clearly in their demeanor and testimony. That stick was used to frame us. Aldridge and Jensen said if we don't find a stick then we'll leave the children. Christopher testified "mostly the blood was from Christa on that stick." (p 790-792) yet no blood was on stick from her at all. Only her sweat on the other end mixed with his <sup>sweat</sup> and she testified neither of them ever touched the stick (p 28, 38-39, 48) Jensen admits the stick was the decision to take children from our home (p 75-78) "So the board was the one - finding the board, taking the board,

was what made you decide that this is a criminal investigation?" Answer - "well that was the link... yes." (p. 78-79) They would not have ever taken the kids if not for the stick, without the stick there was no case not even to remove my children (p. 38, 79) "I wanted to find out if what the teenager was saying was true. Is there abuse going on in the home? Does this stick really exist? That's what's going on in my mind am I getting a story about things or is this real?" "And was that to influence your decision on whether to take them from the home or not? Absolutely." (p. 28) I was skeptical of Christopher's allegations, I'd heard they have behavioral issues. So not sure if witnessing these behavioral issues." (p. 76) Both our exes and their families have known each other for more than 20 yrs. Jeff had just received custody (testimony throughout, as drugs in his exes home were involved, Eli went to juvi with large criminal history on both, both had been arrested since about 10 yrs old and had PO's. <sup>(p. 828)</sup> Eli was on house arrest (my testimony). There was 10 yrs of family court child support wars with both our exes. This time the parental coaching also involved my teenagers, and suddenly these false accusations won our exes instant custody and us destroyed. (my testimony, my sentencing statement.)

### Exceptional Sentence Excessive

The sentencing court's reasons are unsupported by the record, do not justify a sentence outside the standard range and the sentence imposed was clearly excessive. The state did not prove there was even any hitting at all. Their only evidence is the coached teenagers words which alldodge and Jensen testified was scattered, unfocused, chaotic, unbelievable, and

⑥ not credible at all until they found that stick any stick as we had no other sticks anywhere on our property. Of course the teenager could describe the stick they used to spar with days before. They were frustrated with over 5-6 hours of searching and were about to leave. That stick had a hand print stain on it and does not logically fit to what their varied changing stories described. Their stories all changed with each telling over the 2 yrs until trial. They visited regularly with each of our malicious warring exes, who have used my step-sons as tools in 10 yrs worth of monetary parental alienation court wars.

In the one year charging time - the alleged injury Christopher claimed was at most swelling once and red on his bottom with no skin breakage, no scabs, no scars. (Testimony throughout I believe that would be A4 maybe A3 not even A2 let alone 20 yrs. Testimony was that Christa had more blood on stick, yet no blood, no sweat on that end. only his hand print. Christa claimed no bottom injury of any kind. His elbow could not have happened as claimed (Coyland) He claimed he'd protected his bottom with his arm yet the side of arm exposed was not hurt the wrong side of his arm was fractured. The side that would have it he'd landed on it with skateboarding or falling/jumping out window poach roof. It's the wrong side. (Coyland cross with Clark) Coyland could not say when it happened and had said it was 2 yrs old or well healed. She'd said 2009 when Christopher was doing his heavy skateboard and Karate Kempo and fencing classes. Neither of us were charged with any bike lock hitting so it is irrelevant that Eli really did it. To get exceptional on A2 the crime has to be worse than any other A2 in history so that the punishment is not double when the crime is taken into account to charge A2. I've read hundreds of A2 cases in

WA and not one can be compared to ours. The closest I came was A4. There was a choking with substantial bruises on neck with photos and medical records as proof of a man's girl friend that got A4, not A2. Christa's claim she was choked had no marks and teenagers would be able to describe choking especially since there's extensive records of them choking each other. There were no medical records submitted in our case. The teenagers were not taken to a doctor until 6 weeks after they were removed and all of their past medical and mental health records were not allowed and sealed. There were no injuries to the area they claimed to have been hit. (p 813) No restitution hearing as there were no marks and no records. I believe the state wanted courts to take them to doctor just so they could say the injuries had healed. Injuries that were not there on Oct 7, 2011. Some of the testimony was both were even hit with that stick on day that Dunn was there, well then why was it buried and where were the marks and how did we leave with Dunn and they watched us pull in driveway to come home? So when could that have occurred? It didn't. The state relied on hunger pains as exceptional sentence. They testified they had not had "hot" food Oct 7 2011. Non hot food that day is not torture. We'd left very early for homeschool coop after they had cereal and milk, they had snack bars and fruit and lunch box with tortillas with meat and cheese, fruit, chips, snack bars, fruit roll up and fruit drink and Nutella sticks for lunch and we'd come home to 12:15 pm. Through I'mish was zone, I had no time nor frame of mind that day to cook a hot dinner while my house was ransacked with more than a dozen officers in full uniform and 2 CPS. There was per states evidence photos tons of food in refrigerator, pantry and overflow in closed under stairs and 2 large fruit bowls on counter are all in photos. Testimony revealed only "junk" food was what the teenagers were wanting and taking. "Only sweets R'll me up" (Christa testimony) Occasionally I would doctor up hamburger helper the "box" in

⑦

testimony, but what's wrong with that on a busy night with 6 kids? (5 teenagers, 1 pre teen, 1 toddler). There was no land found, no crisco found, no flies, no sauerkraut found, no moldy food what so ever found, no smelly "bad" food was ever found. We'd had no warning anyone was coming and going to tear our hair apart - so if we were feeding them moldy or bad food why were there none? If Jeff was hitting them and just for eating why was that stick buried? Why were their blood work normal w/ no malnourished? Why no medical records proving it? Why was the testimony "they looked thin?" Can you diagnose just by looking with no labs? No history? A lot of people look thin. He was growing inches in a month - stretching per records, he would look thin. She was diagnosed with Bulimia and both were on a cocktail of meds him 4x a day, her 3x a day that was testified affected their height and weight. She had failure to thrive @ yrs before I got them which was permanent per pediatricians. They were closely follow by him and their child psychologist every month who examine and weighed/measured them and did labs. So the states excuse do not make logical sense. So that's why the sealed medical records and objected to pediatrician and psychiatrist as defense witnesses. Why was the stick buried? <sup>we</sup> ~~they~~ had no idea anyone was coming so why wouldn't it have been accessible? If they were hit for eating why didn't their blood work show any sign of malnourishment? No eating keepers no signs. The state's only excuse is her life long sim 5 yrs old well documented failure to thrive that nearly killed her by her bio mother back in 1995. I'd had a bone analysis done also in 2008 (my testimony) it showed a 3yr delay and she'd never reach more than 5 foot 2 inches. I was told it was permanent damage and she'd very slowly catch up until she started menstrating and older

She started menstruating summer 2011 and was starting to form breasts. The state did their bone analysis test. Fall 2011, 2 yrs later by legal she'd grown large breasts and filled out but was still short. She was not 5 foot 5 inches at trial so was not that height 2 yrs earlier. Christopher had not had future to thrive. Their bio mother had tried to kill her with starvation, which had left permanent damage physically and mentally, so this is twisted onto us now and we're punished for what the bio family did? I gave up my career to take care of and raise the twins, my ex raped me and I conceived Nathaniel and his twin who died in utero after my ex beat me. I had thought the twins would be my only children. Just because you adopt does not mean you treat them differently, they're now yours, as if you'd given birth to them. They have a lot of issues we were trying to deal with and they were in many therapies for 10 years were if we were hurting them it would have been caught. This is a story that got manipulated straight from family court child support wars so our exes would win.

Dr Copeland made several typos that need to be corrected-

• Christa was 55 inches not 5 foot 5 inches. <sup>(p. 924)</sup> 55 inches is 4 foot 7 inches so 90 lbs if she was 90 her psychiatrist had her at 127. But 90 lbs at 4 foot 7 inches is normal, so they "typo" helped manipulate the jury. The error would have been caught had the state submitted her medical reports and if they had allowed that then we would have been able to submit our medical and mental health reports which would have ended the starved spoon. Had she truly been 5 foot 5 inches she would not have been only at 7% for her height. That clearly shows her typo, and the fact that to this date she is not 5 foot 5 inches.

• Copeland then strangely switched from inches to centimeters and falsely labeled Christopher as 175 centimeters. (p. 925) Why the change in measurement

⑧

style? To confuse the jury a lay jury? 175 centimeters is 5 foot 4 1/2 inches <sup>incorrect</sup>  
He testified he was 5 foot 8 inches. How did Copeland get that so ~~incorrect~~  
So it puts everything she said in question especially since she  
submitted no records. He is a clear 12+ inches taller than Christa,  
always has been. I take photos and defense photos clearly show  
he is much taller than her. If we are to believe Copeland then  
Christa is 1/2 an inch taller than Christopher. Yet photo's show him  
towering over her. He was measured at Dr. Berkin's (p 815, 840,  
854-7) at 5 foot 11 inches. Sept 2011. He was growing very fast.  
So last he required a lot of physical and occupational therapy  
for his stretching legs and ankles. He was on the football, basketball  
MVP, wrestling and track teams at middle school across the  
street where he'd go everyday for sports, (my testimony) until  
he took a paintball to look real, water gun and a large knife to school  
to show off and threaten someone. The school treated it as real  
and expelled him. He and Eli testified Christopher slashed my  
new Yukon tires (p 694-5), yet told us it was Eli's mother and  
family. Christopher had gotten Nathaniel to lie to police when I  
called that he'd seen Eli's mother do it. He has autism and is  
very influenced by the older teenagers. (seen in his testimony)  
The teenagers all testified they trashed their rooms and destroyed  
furniture smashing them against walls (p. 672, 765 etc.) and  
that they could open Christa's window and door only had an  
alarm on it to keep track of boys not going into her room (p 76,  
770) They'd been caught molesting her. (my testimony) She also  
disclosed that in interviews with the prosecutor and our attorney  
Twins and stepsons testified to going out her window to punch roof  
and smoking and drugs. (p 827) Eli had a PO (p 828). The hole in  
the walls between their rooms was a 2 inch circle on her side

and an "r" shape on boys side. It did not overlap well. (p 746) (my testimony) There was zero food trace on hole either side or in between the walls. No food was ever passed through that hole. (Photo of hole in evidence) The state fooled the jury by eluding the hole was the exact size of the stud to stud partition board screwed to cover the tiny hole. The boys testified they even crawled through the hole to feed the birds or Eli "we cut a hole to pass food to each other" (p 746) Eli had said they cut the hole to pass the meth pipe and drugs and cigarettes to each other had said that to the officers and PO. (my testimony) Crawled through a 2 inch hole? Evidence photo of Christa's dresser clearly shows the heading and large flat cereal and other boxes that never went through that tiny hole. They testified the hole was there about 2 wks. The states spin just defies logic. There is no trace evidence of any food or stains on the hole either side, otherwise why did the state object fiercely to defense wanting close ups of hole and between the walls admitted? The state should have insisted on close ups to show food trace. But there was none. And the hole looked sharp and new. All their testimony clearly says they "stole" junk food and sweets only. No meal foods. They testified that only junk food and sweets fills them up. There was only junk food in the cabinets (p 692, 721, 740-41)

The fracture in his ulna bone was made in 2009 probably with his fall into the advanced skateboarding bowl during his skateboard lesson when he'd taken off his elbow and knee pads to show off for girls or in one of his Karate Kempo, Kencing, Team sports with middle school or when he fell/jumped off the porch roof from her window. Hence we got a child safety lock to keep them off the porch roof. (my testimony). The fracture was told to prosecutor to be in 2009 (2 upods so our charge time was 2009-2011. Then he changed it to 2010-2011 and got the 2009 fall in there anyway. That is court error, or prosecu

⑨ misconduct. (p. 900) Copeland could ~~not~~ say when it was just that it was old. She could not say how it was done just that it was there. "If" it was done as Christopher claimed then the bone would have been crushed, indented not a hairline fracture. I have a crush indentation from when my ox head me with a closet rod like he did to me and the twins (my sentencing testimony)

### • Errors with Karu's testimony -

- She insists there was no door knob on "outside" of Christi door and a wire metal hanger was in outside of door as a handle - on cross its revealed she misrepresented when she was shown a photo of door as there was a door handle on outside of door and only horizontal ~~part~~ part of handle had broken off on "inside" due to plastic insides in handle and no wire hanger. But a pink plastic hanger on door (p 584-585)

- She insists all kitchen cabinets have padlocks on them on cross its revealed no kitchen cabinets have any locks on them whatsoever let alone padlocks. And they had only dishes and cups in them. The pantry door had a master bedroom knob and no padlocks whatsoever. The tiny brown garage cabinet had a small lock as it held the cans Christopher would stab - to help keep him safe. He testified it was easy to get into the cabinet. The state confused the jury by saying "all kitchen cabinets "which were for food" had a locking mechanism". Well no kitchen cabinet had food just the pantry which is not a cabinet. It's in the wall, when door is closed, it could be

a bathroom door. That prejudiced the jury and court. Jensen said it too (p 35). Aldridge said "It's not a crime to lock your refrigerator" (p. 135) The state only had the teenagers word that none of our tremendous amount of food was for them. Then who else? They testified (Jan esp.) they got food just not seconds all the time on dessert and junk food and candy. The twins are diagnosed borderline diabetic so seconds on sweets is not good for them and the four oldest teenagers are all ADHD and conduct disorder etc. so dyes and sugars exacerbates their behavior issues and they have severe issues (per testimonies).

(p 803)

- Nathaniel's T-shirt that was in testimony was already ripped when I gave it to him. It was my T-shirt from my ex mother in law and she'd ripped it as it was too small for her. I'd found it in my old things and let Nathaniel have it as it was part of his father's side and he'd had no contact with my ex since he was 2 yrs old. So the "ripped T-shirt" as proof Jeff did something to him does not work. I believe the jury did not put that together or was too influenced by the smoke and mirror spins.
- Jeff does not own ~~and~~ <sup>or have clean shoes or</sup> work shoes or sports shoes and had 2 ACL surgeries on his knee so would never have been able to kneel let alone on a wood floor. (my testimony and his) (And in Christopher's testimony)
- Choking - The teenagers stories are different about this. One said he'd punched me, one that he'd back handed me because I tried to stop him, ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> I'd hit him, slapped him or punched his back or jumped on his back depending on who's story.

(10) your listening to. The officers on Oct 7 2011 asked me about that. Their story that night was that it'd happened that day. The teenagers stories change with each telling. The officers asked to see my face and head as Christa said he'd beaten me in the head. She said it happened in the kitchen, Christopher said it happened in her room. Stories changed. I showed Jensen and Donaldson my head and face and Donaldson shown his flashlight all over my head and face. They said there was no marks on Christa or me and I said it never happened. Christa laughed and said "oh crap OK I lied." So the state dragged it up and Christa now testified that "Jeff came home "drunk" from work and choked her for no reason." He came home at about 6:20-6:30 AM. He worked graveyard 6<sup>pm</sup> to 6am. (His and my and teenagers testimonies) Jeff is a tea totaler and has been since he was in the Navy in 1990. (Jeff's testimony) He worked at Wafertech making semiconductors in a clean room in a bunny suit. He got off work about 6 AM had to change out of his bunny suit, walk the huge campus to the car and drive the 10-15 min home. There is no time to stop for a drink even if someplace from Carnas to Cascade Park would be open, at 6 AM, or 6:30 AM. Yet he was always home 6:20-6:30 AM, and would walk Jan to the highschool across our street and come back to help wait for Eli's juvi school special bus. The stories kept changing with each telling. I can say it never happened since he never hit me, I never hit him and he never

drunk let alone came home already drunk from a secure "mission impossible" secure type job. And neither Christa nor I had marks and she'd admitted she was lying on Oct 7 2011 to the officers. These are teenagers, they can guess what it would sound like to hear a person choke. The state based its sole proof on that.

Christopher testified Jan was never hit with anything and was only grounded once. (p. 804) That is true yet Jan said he was hit with a belt. Jan got one spank with a belt on his jeans because he'd been trying to molest Ethan our 4 year old and had been doing sexual acts in front of Nathaniel and Ethan which scared them. (my testimony).

So Karu misrepresented "spinning" for the state, Copeland repeated stories the teenagers told her and typed the twin sizes visibly "spun" for the state, she did not give medical reports or pictures for evidence, the state never even asked for it, she only used Christa's bone analysis as a spin she's malnourished when CPS was giving state the info I'd already had one done in 2008 with the results of old permanent damage, all spins for the state, the holes misrepresented as 2x3 feet instead of 2 inches. Their medical and mental health records are refused as evidence and sealed, they use the stick Christopher grabbed with his bloody hand from stabbing cans and spin it was used on their bottoms, they had no search warrant and never tried to get one, they stormed our house like a war raid with more than a dozen officers and spin that its

⑩ only a "simple welfare check", their entire proof is the varied changing stories of teenagers with severe motives and they demand 20 yrs for an exceptional sentence after knowing an accomplice can not be sentenced with exceptional and they later change on change to get the time lengthened after saying the max charge was only 14 at first. 14 turned into 12 with 20 years?

p. 133 - no one ever thought to get a telephonic warrant even after 5 hours. If they really found that stick in first 20 min and knew it was now criminal 20 min into being there - why didn't they remove our kids right then? Why stay around 5+ more hours? Why not interview them at police station right away? Why wait until 2 AM 5 hours later? Because they found the stick then left with kids. Why not get a telephonic warrant? Isn't that basic police procedure? Aldridge testified she used to be a detective had she never done a warrant? How can you make detective and not know warrant requirements? Aldridge "No it was our - we had the stick... we did not search the house "Further" for any evidence of a crime." She used the word "Further" so she (p. 133) admits she searched the house. Obvious. (Aldridge testimony) "It's not a crime to look upon refrigerator." (p. 135) "It was not my belief and still is not my belief that a warrant was required to hold onto what we already had and what we already found... to me it sounds like you're wanting us to put it back to get a warrant and then pick it up again and that doesn't make sense to me in my experience." She said "what we already found" again says they searched. She did need a warrant. She should have put it back and gotten a warrant or at least had 5+ hours to call one in. Her story says she was still there 5 hours after

finding the stick so she had 5 hours to wait for me to arrive in person and not just in email. "What we had, what we already found, did not search the house further after found stick." All that and all pictures and investigate her room and hole in 20 min? All that clearly says they were searching our house with no warrant. "So had you left the stick there, they (teenagers) wouldn't have been in danger then because you would have removed the kids correct? So had you decided to leave the stick there or get a warrant since the kids were being removed they wouldn't have been - the stick would not have been a danger to them had you left it there?" Answer - "No." (p. 137) Aldridge testified Christopher corroborated Christa only on a very basic level." (p. 139) Proof the stories are varied, and ever changing. Aldridge said she told us it was a criminal investigation just before they left. She claimed found stick 20 min in. She said when found stick it was criminal now, "morphed". So the kids were allowed there running all around all over a crime scene? All over and in the middle of a criminal investigation? Really?

The sentencing court's reasons do not support or justify a conviction let alone an exceptional sentence. The record and court's reasons are not supported and do not justify a conviction or exceptional. The stick was taken illegally so they can not use it. And as both of the officers clearly testified that with no stick they would never have ever removed my children let alone charged us. Christopher was never locked in a room that had no lock and Christa testified they got out of any time they wanted. A parent may restrain a child and clearly these teenagers were violent by their own testimonies and sometimes needed a time out in their rooms. If she was never locked in her room, how was he locked in her room? It must be vacated.

I ask the court reverse the judgement and sentence.